arXiv:1611.05219v1 [math.PR] 16 Nov 2016

A Sufficient Condition for a Unique Invariant Distribution of a Higher-Order Markov Chain

Bernhard C. Geiger

November 17, 2016

Abstract

We derive a sufficient condition for a k-th order homogeneous Markov chain $\mathbf{Z}$ with finite alphabet $\mathcal{Z}$ to have a unique invariant distribution on $\mathcal{Z}^k$. While for $k = 1$ uniqueness is ensured if the Markov chain has a single recurrent class, for $k > 1$ not even the more restrictive condition of regularity is sufficient. We show that an invariant distribution of $\mathbf{Z}$ is unique if $\mathbf{Z}$ is a k-th order Markov model for a (possbily non-Markovian) function of a first-order Markov chain with a single recurrent class.

1 Introduction

We consider invariant distributions of a k-th order (i.e., "multiple") Markov chain $\mathbf{Z} = (Z_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ on a finite alphabet $\mathcal{Z}$. For $k = 1$, the invariant distribution π is a probability measure on $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}))$, where $\mathcal{P}(A)$ is the power set of A. This invariant distribution is unique if the Markov chain has a single recurrent class [1, Thm. 4.4.2]. If, in addition, the Markov chain is aperiodic, then the distribution of Z_n converges to this invariant distribution as $n \to \infty$ [1, Thm. 4.3.7]. A fortiori, uniqueness and convergence are ensured if the Markov chain is *regular* (i.e., irreducible and aperiodic), [2, Thm. 4.1.6].

For k-th order Markov chains ($k > 1$), discussing the uniqueness of an invariant distribution is more difficult. Even more so as two different types of invariant distributions can be considered: A distribution π on $\mathcal{Z}$, and a distribution μ on $\mathcal{Z}^k$.

In the first case, the question is related to the eigenvector problem of non-negative tensors. Chang et al. showed that the eigenvector associated with the largest eigenvalue of an irreducible tensor is positive, but not necessarily simple [3, Thm. 1.4]. The results were used by Li and Ng to derive conditions under which there exists a unique distribution π such that, for a k-th order Markov chain $\mathbf{Z}$,

$$\forall z \in \mathcal{Z}\colon \quad \pi_z = \sum_{z_1,\dots,z_k\in\mathcal{Z}} \mathbb{P}(Z_k = z | Z_{k-1} = z_1, \dots, Z_0 = z_k)\pi_{z_1}\cdots\pi_{z_k}. \tag{1}$$

Moreover, as pointed out in [4, eq. (7.1.3)], Vladimirescu showed that if a second-order Markov chain $\mathbf{Z}$ is regular, then for every initial distribution the distribution of Z_n converges to an invariant distribution π as $n \to \infty$.

The more interesting case concerns invariant distributions μ on $\mathcal{Z}^k$. Following Doob [5, p. 89], every k-th order Markov chain $\mathbf{Z}$ on $\mathcal{Z}$ can be converted

to a first-order Markov chain $\mathbf{Z}^{(k)} = (Z_n, \dots, Z_{n+k-1})_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ on $\mathcal{Z}^k$. If $\mathbf{Z}^{(k)}$ is a regular first-order Markov chain, then $\mathbf{Z}$ is a regular k-th order Markov chain [4, Prop. 7.1.6]. Since $\mathbf{Z}^{(k)}$ is regular, it has a unique invariant distribution μ on $\mathcal{Z}^k$; it follows that μ is the unique invariant distribution for $\mathbf{Z}$. Moreover, from the regularity of $\mathbf{Z}^{(k)}$ follows regularity of $\mathbf{Z}$, hence there is also a unique π on $\mathcal{Z}$.

The converse, however, is not true: The regularity of $\mathbf{Z}^{(k)}$ does *not* follow from the regularity of $\mathbf{Z}$, and hence the uniqueness of (and convergence to) an invariant distribution μ on $\mathcal{Z}^k$ is not guaranteed in general. To address this question, Herkenrath discussed uniform ergodicity of second-order Markov processes. He converted the second-order Markov process $\mathbf{Z}$ to a first-order Markov process $\mathbf{Z}^{[2]} = (Z_{2n}, Z_{2n+1})_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ on $\mathcal{Z}^2$ and defined uniform ergodicity for $\mathbf{Z}$ via $\mathbf{Z}^{[2]}$ [6, Def. 4]. He derived sufficient conditions under which uniform ergodicity holds for certain classes of second-order Markov chains (such as nonlinear autoregressive time series of order two). He showed that for π and μ being invariant on $\mathcal{Z}$ and $\mathcal{Z}^2$ [6, Cor. 2]

$$\forall z \in \mathcal{Z}\colon \quad \pi_z = \sum_{z'\in\mathcal{Z}} \mu_{z,z'} = \sum_{z'\in\mathcal{Z}} \mu_{z',z}. \tag{2}$$

In this work, we present a sufficient condition for $\mathbf{Z}$ to have a unique invariant distribution μ on $\mathcal{Z}^k$. The condition is formulated via a function of a Markov chain with a single recurrent class. Since functions of Markov chains, so-called *lumpings*, usually do not possess the Markov property, one may need to approximate this lumping by a Markov chain with a given order. Assuming that this Markov approximation satisfies certain conditions, it can be shown that its invariant distribution is unique. Since $\mathbf{Z}$ can itself be written as a lumping of $\mathbf{Z}^{(k)}$, our condition is more general than requiring that $\mathbf{Z}^{(k)}$ has a single recurrent class.

2 Problem Setting

All processes and random variables are assumed to live on a finite alphabet, i.e., $|\mathcal{Z}| < \infty$. We abbreviate vectors as $z_1^k := (z_1, \dots, z_k)$. If the length of the vector is clear from the context, we omit indices. The probability that $Z = z$ is written as $p_Z(z) := \mathbb{P}(Z = z)$; the conditional probability that $Z_1 = z_1$ given that $Z_2 = z_2$ is written as $p_{Z_1|Z_2}(z_1|z_2)$.

A stochastic process $\mathbf{Z}$ is a k-th order Markov chain with alphabet $\mathcal{Z}$ if and only if

$$\forall n \geq k\colon \quad \forall z_0^n\colon \quad p_{Z_n|Z_0^{n-1}}(z_n|z_0^{n-1}) = p_{Z_n|Z_{n-k}^{n-1}}(z_n|z_{n-k}^{n-1}). \tag{3}$$

If the right-hand side of (3) does not depend on n, we can write

$$p_{Z_n|Z_0^{n-1}}(z_n|z_0^{n-1}) = Q_{z_{n-k}^{n-1}\to z_n} \tag{4}$$

and call $\mathbf{Z}$ *homogeneous*. We let $\mathbf{Q}$ be an $|\mathcal{Z}|^k \times |\mathcal{Z}|$ matrix with entries $Q_{z_{n-k}^{n-1}\to z_n}$ and abbreviate $\mathbf{Z} \sim \mathsf{HMC}(k, \mathcal{Z}, \mathbf{Q})$. Based on this, $\mathbf{Q}^n$ denotes the matrix with entries

$$Q^n_{z_0^{k-1}\to z} = p_{Z_{k+n}|Z_0^{k-1}}(z|z_0^{k-1}). \tag{5}$$

We recall basic definitions for k-th order Markov chains $\mathbf{Z} \sim \mathsf{HMC}(k, \mathcal{Z}, \mathbf{Q})$; the details can be found in, e.g., [4, Def. 7.1.1-7.1.4] or [1, Def. 4.2.2-4.2.7]. A state $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ is *accessible* from $z' \in \mathcal{Z}$ (in short: $z' \to z$), if and only if

$$\forall u \in \mathcal{Z}^{k-1}\colon \quad \exists n = n(u, i, j)\colon \quad Q^n_{(u,z')\to z} > 0. \tag{6}$$

If $z' \to z$ and $z \to z'$, then z and z' *communicate* (in short: $z \leftrightarrow z'$). A state z is *recurrent* if $z \to z'$ implies $z' \to z$, otherwise z is *transient*. The relation "$\leftrightarrow$" partitions the set $\{z \in \mathcal{Z}\colon \ z \leftrightarrow z\}$ into equivalence classes that we call classes of states. The Markov chain $\mathbf{Z}$ is *irreducible* if and only if $\mathcal{Z}$ is the unique equivalence class; it is *regular* if and only if there exists $n \geq 1$ such that $\mathbf{Q}^n$ is a positive matrix.

A k-th order Markov chain $\mathbf{Z} \sim \mathsf{HMC}(k, \mathcal{Z}, \mathbf{Q})$ can be converted to a first-order Markov chain on $\mathcal{Z}^k$. Let $\mathbf{Z}^{(k)}$ be the process with samples Z_0^{k-1}, Z_1^k, Z_2^{k+1}. Then, $\mathbf{Z}^{(k)} \sim \mathsf{HMC}(1, \mathcal{Z}^k, \mathbf{P})$, where [5, p. 89]

$$\forall z_0^{k-1}, {z'}_0^{k-1} \in \mathcal{Z}^k\colon \quad P_{{z'}_0^{k-1}\to z_0^{k-1}} = \begin{cases} Q_{{z'}_0^{k-1}\to z_{k-1}} & z'_1 = z_0, \ldots, z'_{k-1} = z_{k-2} \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

Definition 1 (Invariant Distribution)**.** Let $\mathbf{Z} \sim \mathsf{HMC}(k, \mathcal{Z}, \mathbf{Q})$. A distribution μ on $\mathcal{Z}^k$ is *invariant*, if and only if

$$\forall z_0^k \in \mathcal{Z}^{k+1}\colon \quad \mu_{z_1^k} = \sum_{z_0 \in \mathcal{Z}} \mu_{z_0^{k-1}} Q_{z_0^{k-1}\to z_k}. \tag{8}$$

An invariant distribution μ for $\mathbf{Z}$ is also invariant for $\mathbf{Z}^{(k)}$; if $\mathbf{Z}^{(k)}$ has a single recurrent class, then μ is unique. Unfortunately, even if $\mathbf{Z}$ is regular, $\mathbf{Z}^{(k)}$ may have multiple recurrent classes:

Example 1. Let $\mathbf{Z} \sim \mathsf{HMC}(2, \{1, 2, 3, 4\}, \mathbf{Q})$, where

$$\mathbf{Q} = \begin{array}{c} \\ 1,1 \\ 1,2 \\ 1,3 \\ 1,4 \\ 2,1 \\ 2,2 \\ 2,3 \\ 2,4 \\ 3,1 \\ 3,2 \\ 3,3 \\ 3,4 \\ 4,1 \\ 4,2 \\ 4,3 \\ 4,4 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{array} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0 \\ 0.5 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0.5 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}. \tag{9}$$

This Markov chain is regular, since $\mathbf{Q}^{10} > 0$. One can show that $\mathbf{Z}^{(2)}$ has several recurrent classes: $\mathbf{Z}$ is such that, depending on the initial states, we either have

$1-2-3-4-1$ and $1-2-3-1$ or $1-4-3-2-1$ and $1-3-2-1$. It follows that $\mathbf{Z}^{(2)}$ has transient states $\{(1,1),(2,2),(2,4),(3,3),(4,2),(4,4)\}$ and two recurrent classes:

$$\{(1,2),(2,3),(3,1),(3,4),(4,1)\}$$

and

$$\{(1,3),(3,2),(2,1),(1,4),(4,3)\}.$$

It follows that there is no unique invariant distribution μ satisfying (8).

3 Main Result

We present a non-trivial sufficient condition for $\mathbf{Z}^{(k)}$ having a single recurrent class and, hence, for the uniqueness of the invariant distribution μ.

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathbf{X} \sim \mathsf{HMC}(1,\mathcal{X},\mathbf{P})$ have a single recurrent class and invariant distribution π. Let $\mathbf{X}$ be stationary, i.e., $p_{X_0} = \pi$. Let g: $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ where $1 < |\mathcal{Y}| < |\mathcal{X}|$. Define $\mathbf{Y}$ via $Y_n = g(X_n)$, and let $\mathbf{M} \sim \mathsf{HMC}(k,\mathcal{Y},\mathbf{Q})$ be a k-th order Markov model of $\mathbf{Y}$ with*

$$Q_{y_0^{k-1}\to y_k} = \begin{cases} p_{Y_k|Y_0^{k-1}}(y_k|y_0^{k-1}) & p_{Y_0^{k-1}}(y_0^{k-1}) > 0 \\ \frac{1}{|\mathcal{Y}|} & \text{else} \end{cases}. \tag{10}$$

Then, $\mathbf{M}$ has a unique invariant distribution μ satisfying

$$\forall y_0^{k-1} \in \mathcal{Y}\text{:}\quad \mu_{y_0^{k-1}} = p_{Y_0^{k-1}}(y_0^{k-1}) = \sum_{x_0^{k-1} \in g^{-1}(y_0^{k-1})} \pi_{x_0} \prod_{i=1}^{k} P_{x_{i-1}\to x_i}. \tag{11}$$

With this definition, $\mathbf{M}$ is the best approximation of $\mathbf{Y}$ in terms of relative entropy [7, Cor. 10.2]. Defining $Q_{y_0^{k-1}\to y_k}$ to be positive for $p_{Y_0^{k-1}}(y_0^{k-1}) = 0$ is necessary, since otherwise $\mathbf{M}^{(k)}$ may have multiple recurrent classes:

Example 2. Let $\mathbf{X} \sim \mathsf{HMC}(1,\{1,2,3\},\mathbf{P})$ with $P_{1\to 1} = 0$ and all other entries $P_{i\to j} > 0$. Let g: $\{1,2,3\} \to \{1,2\}$ be such that $g(1) = 1$ and $g(2) = g(3) = 2$. Suppose we want to model $\mathbf{Y}$ by a second-order Markov chain $\mathbf{M}$. We get

$$\mathbf{Q} = \begin{array}{c} \\ 1,1 \\ 1,2 \\ 2,1 \\ 2,2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \end{array} \\ \begin{pmatrix} ? & ? \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ p & 1-p \end{pmatrix} \end{array} \tag{12}$$

where the ? indicates that the process distribution does not tell us how to choose these probabilities (the event $Y_0^1 = (1,1)$ occurs with probability zero).

Choosing $Q_{(1,1)\to 1} = 1$ results in an invariant distribution where the tuple $(1,1)$ has the same probability as it has in the initial distribution. The invariant distribution is thus not unique. Moreover, it can be shown that $\mathbf{M}^{(2)}$ has two recurrent classes: $\{(1,1)\}$ and $\{(1,2),(2,1),(2,2)\}$.

4 Proof

That

$$\mu_{y_0^{k-1}} = p_{Y_0^{k-1}}(y_0^{k-1}) = \sum_{x_0^{k-1} \in g^{-1}(y_0^{k-1})} \pi_{x_0} \prod_{i=1}^{k} P_{x_{i-1} \to x_i} \tag{13}$$

is an invariant distribution for $\mathbf{M}$ follows from stationarity and the definition of $\mathbf{M}$ in (10). We thus remain to show that this invariant distribution is unique. To this end, we investigate the first-order Markov chain $\mathbf{M}^{(k)}$ and show that it has a single recurrent class. In particular, let

$$\mathcal{S} := \{y_0^{k-1} \in \mathcal{Y}\colon \quad p_{Y_0^{k-1}}(y_0^{k-1}) > 0\}. \tag{14}$$

We show in Section 4.1 that all states in $\mathcal{S}$ communicate by showing that for all $y, y' \in \mathcal{S}$ we have $y \to y'$ (hence, $y \leftrightarrow y'$). We show in Section 4.2 that $\mathcal{S}$ is a recurrent class by showing that, for $y \in \mathcal{S}$ and $y' \in \mathcal{S}^c$, we have $y \not\to y'$. Finally, in Section 4.3 we show that the states in $\mathcal{S}^c$ are transient by showing that for every $y' \notin \mathcal{S}$ there is an $y \in \mathcal{S}$ such that we have $y' \to y$. This completes the proof that $\mathcal{S}$ is the single recurrent class of $\mathbf{M}^{(k)}$, from which the uniqueness of μ follows [1, Thm. 4.4.2].

4.1 All states in $\mathcal{S}$ communicate

Lemma 1. *For every $n \geq 0$ and every $y_0^{k-1} \in \mathcal{Y}^k$ such that $p_{Y_0^{k-1}}(y_0^{k-1}) > 0$, we have*

$$\forall y_k^{k+n} \in \mathcal{Y}^{n+1}\colon \quad p_{M_k^{k+n}|M_0^{k-1}}(y_k^{k+n}|y_0^{k-1}) = 0 \Rightarrow p_{Y_k^{k+n}|Y_0^{k-1}}(y_k^{k+n}|y_0^{k-1}) = 0. \tag{15}$$

Proof. This result is an immediate consequence of [7, Thm. 10.1, eq. (10.8)]. There, it was shown that with (10) and with setting $p_{M_0^{k-1}}(y_0^{k-1}) = p_{Y_0^{k-1}}(y_0^{k-1})$, one gets for every $n \geq 0$

$$\forall y_0^{k+n} \in \mathcal{Y}^{k+n+1}\colon \quad p_{M_0^{k+n}}(y_0^{k+n}) = 0 \Rightarrow p_{Y_0^{k+n}}(y_0^{k+n}) = 0. \tag{16}$$

The proof follows by dividing both sides by $p_{Y_0^{k-1}}(y_0^{k-1}) > 0$. □

To show that all states in $\mathcal{S}$ communicate, it suffices to show for every pair $y, y' \in \mathcal{S}$ we have $y \to y'$. With Lemma 1 it thus suffices to show that for every pair $y, y' \in \mathcal{S}$ there exists an $n = n(y, y') \geq 0$ such that

$$p_{Y_{k+n}^{2k+n-1}|Y_0^{k-1}}(y'|y) > 0. \tag{17}$$

Since $y' \in \mathcal{S}$, there exists at least one $\hat{x}_0^{k-1} \in g^{-1}(y')$ such that

$$p_{X_0^{k-1}}(\hat{x}_0^{k-1}) = \pi_{\hat{x}_0} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_{\hat{x}_{i-1} \to \hat{x}_i} > 0. \tag{18}$$

Hence,

$$p_{Y_{k+n}^{2k+n-1}|Y_0^{k-1}}(y'|y) \geq p_{X_{k+n}^{2k+n-1}|Y_0^{k-1}}(\hat{x}_0^{k-1}|y) = p_{X_{k+n}|Y_0^{k-1}}(\hat{x}_0|y) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_{\hat{x}_{i-1} \to \hat{x}_i}. \tag{19}$$

Since $y \in \mathcal{S}$, there exists at least one $\check{x}_0^{k-1} \in g^{-1}(y)$ such that $p_{X_0^{k-1}}(\check{x}_0^{k-1}) > 0$ and, thus, $p_{X_0^{k-1}|Y_0^{k-1}}(\check{x}_0^{k-1}|y) > 0$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} p_{X_{k+n}|Y_0^{k-1}}(\hat{x}_0|y) &\geq p_{X_{k+n}|X_0^{k-1}}(\hat{x}_0|\check{x}_0^{k-1}) p_{X_0^{k-1}|Y_0^{k-1}}(\check{x}_0^{k-1}|y) \\ &= p_{X_{k+n}|X_{k-1}}(\hat{x}_0|\check{x}_{k-1}) p_{X_0^{k-1}|Y_0^{k-1}}(\check{x}_0^{k-1}|y) \\ &= P^{n+1}_{\check{x}_{k-1}\to\hat{x}_0} p_{X_0^{k-1}|Y_0^{k-1}}(\check{x}_0^{k-1}|y) > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

for $n = n(\check{x}_{k-1}, \hat{x}_0)$ because $\check{x}_{k-1}$ and $\hat{x}_0$ are both in the single recurrent class of $\mathbf{X}$. Inserting this result and (18) into (19) yields (17).

4.2 $\mathcal{S}$ is a recurrent class

We already showed that, for all $y, y' \in \mathcal{S}$, $y \leftrightarrow y'$. To show that $\mathcal{S}$ is a class of states, we have to show that for $y \in \mathcal{S}$ and $y' \in \mathcal{S}^c$, we have $y \not\to y'$. It immediately follows that $\mathcal{S}$ is a recurrent class, since every state $y \in \mathcal{S}$ can access only states $y'' \in \mathcal{S}$; hence, the situation that $y \to y''$ but $y'' \not\to y$ cannot occur.

Suppose $y_0^{k-1} \in \mathcal{S}$. Then,

$$\forall y_k \in \mathcal{Y}\colon \quad p_{M_k|M_0^{k-1}}(y_k|y_0^{k-1}) > 0 \Rightarrow y_1^k \in \mathcal{S}. \quad (21)$$

To see this, note that

$$\begin{aligned} p_{Y_0^{k-1}}(y_1^k) &= p_{Y_1^k}(y_1^k) \\ &= \sum_{y\in\mathcal{Y}} p_{Y_0^k}(y, y_1^k) \\ &\geq p_{Y_0^k}(y_0^k) \\ &= p_{Y_k|Y_0^{k-1}}(y_k|y_0^{k-1}) p_{Y_0^{k-1}}(y_0^{k-1}) \\ &= p_{M_k|M_0^{k-1}}(y_k|y_0^{k-1}) p_{Y_0^{k-1}}(y_0^{k-1}) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Repeating this procedure, one can show that, for every $n \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\forall y_k^{k+n} \in \mathcal{Y}^{n+1}\colon \\ &\qquad p_{M_k^{k+n}|M_0^{k-1}}(y_k^{k+n}|y_0^{k-1}) > 0 \Rightarrow \forall i \in \{1,\dots,n+1\}\colon y_i^{i+k-1} \in \mathcal{S}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

It follows that from $y \in \mathcal{S}$ only states in $\mathcal{S}$ can be accessed.

4.3 All states in $\mathcal{S}^c$ are transient

To show that all states in $\mathcal{S}^c$ are transient, we must show that for every $y \in \mathcal{S}^c$ there is at least one $y' \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $y \to y'$. Since we showed in the last section that $y' \not\to y$, it follows that y is transient.

Suppose $y_0^{k-1} \in \mathcal{S}^c$. It follows that

$$\forall y_k \in \mathcal{Y}\colon \quad p_{M_k|M_0^{k-1}}(y_k|y_0^{k-1}) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{Y}|}. \quad (23)$$

If for at least one y_k we have that $y_1^k \in \mathcal{S}$, then we are done. If for every y_k we have $y_1^k \in \mathcal{S}^c$, then

$$\forall y_k^{k+1} \in \mathcal{Y}^2\colon \quad p_{M_k^{k+1}|M_0^{k-1}}(y_k^{k+1}|y_0^{k-1}) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{Y}|^2}. \tag{24}$$

If for at least one y_k^{k+1} we have that $y_2^{k+1} \in \mathcal{S}$, then we are done. Otherwise, we repeat above procedure.

Eventually, if for every $y_k^{2k-2} \in \mathcal{Y}^{k-1}$ we have that $y_{k-1}^{2k-2} \in \mathcal{S}^c$, then

$$\forall y_k^{2k-1} \in \mathcal{Y}^k\colon \quad p_{M_k^{2k-1}|M_0^{k-1}}(y_k^{2k-1}|y_0^{k-1}) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{Y}|^k}. \tag{25}$$

But at least one $y_k^{2k-1} \in \mathcal{Y}^k$ must be such that $y_k^{2k-1} \in \mathcal{S}$, since $\mathcal{S}$ is trivially non-empty. Thus, from every $y \in \mathcal{S}^c$ there is at least one $y' \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $y \to y'$.

References

[1] R. G. Gallager, Stochastic Processes. Theory for Applications, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013.

[2] J. G. Kemeny, J. L. Snell, Finite Markov Chains, 2nd Edition, Springer, 1976.

[3] K. C. Chang, K. Pearson, T. Zhang, Perron-Frobenius theorem for nonnegative tensors, Commun. Math. Sci. 6 (2) (2008) 507–520.

[4] S. L. Kalpazidou, Cycle Representations of Markov Processes, 2nd Edition, Vol. 28 of Stochastic Modelling and Applied Probability, Springer, New York, 2006.

[5] J. L. Doob, Stochastic Processes, Wiley Classics Library, Wiley Interscience, New York, NY, 1990.

[6] U. Herkenrath, On the uniform ergodicity of Markov processes of order 2, J. Appl. Prob 40 (2) (2003) 455–472.

[7] R. M. Gray, Entropy and Information Theory, Springer, New York, NY, 1990.